Sitting Time, Fidgeting, and All-Cause Mortality in the UK Women’s Cohort Study
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Introduction: Sedentary behaviors (including sitting) may increase mortality risk independently of physical activity level. Little is known about how fidgeting behaviors might modify the association.

Methods: Data were from the United Kingdom (UK) Women’s Cohort Study. In 1999–2002, a total of 12,778 women (aged 37–78 years) provided data on average daily sitting time, overall fidgeting (irrespective of posture), and a range of relevant covariates including physical activity, diet, smoking status, and alcohol consumption. Participants were followed for mortality over a mean of 12 years. Proportional hazards Cox regression models estimated the relative risk of mortality in high (versus low) and medium (versus low) sitting time groups.

Results: Fidgeting modified the risk associated with sitting time ($p=0.04$ for interaction), leading us to separate groups for analysis. Adjusting for covariates, sitting for $\geq 7$ hours/day (versus $< 5$ hours/day) was associated with 30% increased all-cause mortality risk (hazard ratio [HR] = 1.30, 95% CI = 1.02, 1.66) only among women in the low fidgeting group. Among women in the high fidgeting group, sitting for 5–6 hours/day (versus $< 5$ hours/day) was associated with decreased mortality risk (HR = 0.63, 95% CI = 0.43, 0.91), adjusting for a range of covariates. There was no increased mortality risk from longer sitting time in the middle and high fidgeting groups.

Conclusions: Fidgeting may reduce the risk of all-cause mortality associated with excessive sitting time. More detailed and better-validated measures of fidgeting should be identified in other studies to replicate these findings and identify mechanisms, particularly measures that distinguish fidgeting in a seated from standing posture.
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largely independent of physical activity. Long-term follow-ups considering the effect of sedentary behavior on mortality are still relatively rare. Breaks in sitting time have been shown to improve metabolic biomarkers, but no study has examined whether fidgeting might modify an association between sitting time and all-cause mortality, the starting point for our investigation. Fidgeting is typically defined as involving small movements, especially of the hands and feet, often through nervousness, restlessness, or impatience. These movements can occur while seated or standing and might involve low levels of energy expenditure, but could bring benefits to those who are sedentary for long periods of time.

The current study examined the association between sitting time and mortality in almost 13,000 women in the United Kingdom Women’s Cohort Study (UKWCS) with an average of 12 years’ follow-up. Our aim was to determine if fidgeting modified the association between longer sitting times and mortality.

**Methods**

**Study Sample**

Data were drawn from the UKWCS, a prospective cohort study of women in England, Scotland, and Wales. At recruitment in 1995–1998, a total of 61,000 women aged 35–69 years who had previously completed a survey from the World Cancer Research Fund were invited to complete a food frequency questionnaire (N=35,372, 58% response rate) and provided sociodemographic information. In 1999–2002, a total of 14,245 participants (aged 37–78 years) completed a second questionnaire, which included questions on health behaviors, chronic disease, a 24-hour activity questionnaire, questions about physical activity levels, and fidgeting (each presented in that order). Ethical approval for the cohort was provided by 174 separate National Health Service (NHS) Committees. End of follow-up for our study was December 31, 2013; statistical analysis took place in 2014.

**Measures**

Vital status was monitored using the NHS number assigned to each UK citizen. In our analysis, mortality was monitored from 1999–2002 (our baseline) to December 31, 2013 (end of follow-up).

To assess sitting time at baseline, participants were asked, *On an average weekday how is your day spent?* They were then required to report the number of hours and minutes in a 24-hour day spent doing the nine activities (*sleeping, sitting, light activities, standing, household chores, lifting heavy objects, light exercise, moderate exercise, strenuous exercise*). Participants were also asked, *On an average weekend day how is your day spent?* with the same response options. Answers for *sitting* were combined to give a mean average sitting time per day ([(5 X weekday +2 X weekend hours)/7]). The distribution was divided into three sitting time groups: low (<5 hours/day); medium (5 or 6 hours/day); and high (≥7 hours/day).

To measure fidgeting behavior at baseline, participants were asked: *On a scale from 1 to 10 please indicate how much of your time you spend fidgeting. 1 would represent “no fidgeting at all” and 10 would represent “constant fidgeting.”* The distribution was divided into three fidgeting groups: low (1 or 2); middle (3 or 4); or high (5–10).

To record physical activity level, participants were asked: *Which of the following four activity classes best describes your present weekly activity?* Response options were: no weekly physical activity; only light/moderate physical activity in most weeks; vigorous activity for at least 20 minutes once or twice a week; vigorous activity at least 20 minutes three or more times per week. Sleep time was recorded as one of the nine activities described above. Participants reported the number of hours they slept on an average weekday and weekend, combined to give a mean sleeping time per day ([(5 X weekday +2 X weekend hours)/7]). Participants were asked: *In a typical week, how much do you drink?* Participants selected the relevant type of alcohol and reported amount consumed as *beer or cider (half pints each week)*; *wine (glasses each week)*; *sherry/fortified wines (glasses each week)*; *spirits (glasses [singles] each week).* Participants were also asked, *If less than once per week, then… In a typical month how much do you drink?* with the same response options. Responses to either question were used to estimate units of alcohol consumed per week (1 UK unit=8 grams ethanol), with those consuming ≥15 units per week classified as heavy drinkers, 1–14 units as moderate, and those reporting 0 units per week coded as non-drinkers. Self-reported smoking status was used to classify participants into current, ex, or never-smokers. Average daily fruit/vegetable consumption was calculated using responses to two questions: *How many servings of vegetables or dishes containing vegetables (excluding potatoes) do you usually eat in an average week?* and *How many servings of fruit or dishes containing fruit do you usually eat in an average week?* Participants were asked, *Has a doctor ever told you that you have, or have had, any of the following conditions?* Chronic disease was defined as any yes response to the following: *heart attack, coronary thrombosis, myocardial infarction, angina, stroke, diabetes, or cancer.* To record height and weight, participants were asked: *Approximately how much do you weigh at present?* (stones and pounds or kilograms) and *what is your present height?* (feet and inches or centimeters). Responses were converted into kilograms and centimeters then were converted into BMI categories using the standard formula and WHO criteria: BMI <18.5 (underweight); BMI=18.5–24.99 (healthy weight); BMI=25–29.99 (overweight); and BMI ≥30 (obese).

Participants reported any educational qualifications (none, Certificate of Secondary Education [CSE], General Certificate of Education [GCE] O Level, City & Guilds, A Level/Highers, Teaching diploma, Higher National Certificate [HNC], Degree), which were grouped into the highest level achieved (none, secondary school, university degree). Occupational social class was coded from the participant’s main job title (or partner’s if missing) according to the National Statistics Socio-Economic Classification (NS-SEC) method and classified as professional/managerial (high); intermediate; or routine/manual (low). Women who reported not being in employment because they were retired were classified as retired (versus working).

**Statistical Analysis**

In descriptive analyses, we evaluated differences in study variables across three sitting time groups. Cox regression with proportional hazards was used to evaluate the association between sitting time...
and mortality risk. The assumption of proportional hazards was tested by creating time-varying covariates (ln[T] X sitting time groups), where T was the follow-up time since exposure measurement. These variables were not significant for either the middle (p=0.32) or high (p=0.88) sitting time groups, showing that the proportional hazards assumption was not violated. In preliminary analyses, we tested whether fidgeting modified the association between sitting time and mortality. A model containing an interaction term between sitting time (in hours) and fidgeting groups fitted the data significantly better than a model containing only the separate effects for sitting time and fidgeting (p=0.04) using the likelihood ratio test. This led us to separate the analytic sample into three fidgeting groups for analysis, to compare the association at different levels of fidgeting (low, medium, high). We also evaluated effects of the separate exposures and their joint effect, relative to the unexposed group. Analyses for all-cause mortality were conducted first in a minimally adjusted model (adjusting for age) and then in a fully adjusted model (adjusting for age, chronic disease, physical activity (none/vigorous twice weekly/vigorous three or more times weekly versus light/moderate); smoking (current versus ex/never); alcohol use (heavy/non-drinker versus moderate); daily fruit/vegetable consumption; daily sleep time; educational attainment; occupational social class; and retirement. We did not adjust for BMI in the main analysis, because this may lie on the causal chain between the exposure and mortality. Missing data (on covariates only, 1.1%) were replaced using multiple imputation with ten replications in Mplus in order to reduce bias and increase statistical power. Sensitivity analyses were undertaken to check whether results differed in complete case data, evaluate possible reverse causation, compare weekday/weekend sitting, consider separately chronic disease categories as covariates, consider the 24-hour recall measure of physical activity available, and consider a possible mediating role for BMI. Analyses were performed in Stata, version 13.1, and Mplus, version 7.2.

### Results

The analytic sample comprised 10,937 women with data on sitting time, fidgeting, covariates, and vital status (12,778 after multiple imputation of missing data on covariates). Compared with the study population at recruitment, the analytic sample was younger (51.4 vs 56.9 years) and contained a higher proportion of women with degree-level educational attainment (30.8% vs 12.5%). Characteristics of the analytic sample are shown in Table 1 across sitting time groups, and in Appendix Table 1 (available online) according to vital status (n=577 deaths). Women in the highest third of sitting time tended to be slightly younger, fidgeted less, be current smokers, drink alcohol heavily, have a poor diet, sleep for longer, and perform vigorous physical activity less than three times per week. The largest proportion of women with no educational qualifications and routine occupations, however, was found in the low sitting time group. For reference, characteristics of study variables according to fidgeting groups are shown in Appendix Table 2 (available online). The high fidgeting group tended to be younger, sit for longer, comprised more cigarette smokers, lower levels of physical activity, longer sleep times, higher levels of education, and higher social class positions.

Associations between sitting time and behavioral measures, before separating fidgeting groups, are shown in Appendix Table 3 (available online). The effects of the separate exposures and their combined effects, relative to the group unexposed to each exposure, were sitting time (hazard ratio [HR]/hour = 1.09, 95% CI = 1.04, 1.14); middle versus low fidgeting group (HR=1.52, 95% CI=0.81, 2.84); high versus low fidgeting group (HR=1.47, 95% CI=0.87, 2.48); sitting time X middle fidgeting group (HR=0.89, 95% CI=0.79, 1.00); and sitting time X high fidgeting group (HR=0.92, 95% CI=0.79, 1.01). The p-value for the interaction term (sitting time X fidgeting group) was 0.04 in the overall model, combining all three groups, showing evidence of significant effect modification. These preliminary analyses led us to separate the fidgeting groups for the main analysis, which used the larger analytic sample.

Results from the Cox regression models are shown in Table 2. Among women with low fidgeting scores, sitting for ≥7 hours/day (versus <5 hours/day) was associated with a 43% increase in risk of all-cause mortality in age-adjusted models (HR=1.43, 95% CI=1.14, 1.80). After additional adjustment for age, chronic disease, physical activity level, educational attainment, occupational social class, smoking, alcohol use, fruit/vegetable consumption, and sleep hours, the association was attenuated but remained (HR=1.30, 95% CI=1.02, 1.66). No association was seen between sitting for ≥7 hours/day and all-cause mortality in the middle (HR=0.75, 95% CI=0.44, 1.29) or high (HR=0.76, 95% CI=0.50, 1.15) fidgeting groups. An apparent association between sitting 5–6 hours/day and decreased mortality risk was significant in the high fidgeting group (HR=0.63, 95% CI=0.43, 0.91) in the fully adjusted model. For completeness, the associations between fidgeting groups and mortality are shown in Table 3. We evaluated the linear association for sitting hours/day in order to evaluate consistency of these results. This and other sensitivity analyses, listed in Appendix Table 4 (available online), suggested that our results were robust.

### Discussion

Using data from almost 13,000 women in the UKWCS followed for an average of 12 years, we found that fidgeting modified the association between sitting time and mortality, independently of a range of covariates including physical activity level. We replicated existing findings that longer sitting times were associated with increased risk of all-cause mortality, even among those meeting physical activity recommendations, but
did not see this association in medium and high fidgeting groups. Fidgeting appeared to remove the association between longer sitting times and subsequent mortality. Although physical activity guidelines are generally well represented in public health campaigns, there has been limited consideration of the potential negative impact of sitting for long periods. The current study therefore provides important information that though longer time spent sitting may have negative consequences, simple behaviors may have the potential to offset this.

The current study did not address the potential mechanisms underlying the association between sitting time and mortality, as our focus was on exploring whether fidgeting modified the association. There have been suggestions that periods of sitting may be associated with abnormal glucose metabolism and the metabolic syndrome, though full explanatory pathways are still lacking. It is, however, necessary to understand sitting time, fidgeting movements, and the physiologic changes associated with these behaviors so that public health policies can be developed that provide guidance on the patterns of sitting that are best for health and life expectancy. For example, it has been suggested that replacing sedentary behavior with standing or light-intensity physical activity might be beneficial in reducing disease risk and mortality at a population level, independently of moderate or vigorous physical activity. The current results suggest that more complex movements of the hands and feet may be important to measure, in addition to level of physical activity.

**Limitations**

The current study has a number of strengths and limitations. The cohort consists of a large sample followed over an extended period of time from midlife, comparable to those previously reported. The cohort only contains women, however, so replications will be necessary in samples of men and women. That said, there has been some indication that women may be more adversely affected by excessive sitting. The current analysis considered a number of known confounders of the association between sitting time and mortality. We did not adjust for BMI in the main analysis because it is likely to lie on the causal chain between sitting and mortality, but we did consider the possible mediating role of BMI in supplementary analyses and found that this did not attenuate or mediate the association.

The limited measure of fidgeting behavior available is an obvious limitation. We suggest it may act as a proxy for

| Table 1. Characteristics of Study Variables Across Tertiles of Daily Sitting Time |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Daily sitting time      | Low (0–4 hours)         | Middle (5–6 hours)      | High (7–17 hours)       | Total                   |
| n=4,622 (42.3%)         | n=3,501 (32.0%)         | n=2,814 (25.7%)         |                         | n=10,937                |
| Age (M [SD])            | 55.7 (8.8)              | 56.6 (8.8)              | 54.0 (8.4)              | <0.001                  | 55.6 (8.8)              |
| Fidgeting               | Low                     | Medium                  | High                    |                          |
|                         | 56.5                    | 52.9                    | 50.8                    | <0.001                  | 53.9                    |
|                         | Medium                  | 17.9                    | 20.1                    | 21.4                    | 0.01                    | 19.5                    |
|                         | High                    | 25.6                    | 27.0                    | 27.9                    | 0.03                    | 26.6                    |
| Current smoker          | 5.2                     | 4.9                     | 7.0                     | <0.001                  | 5.6                     |
| Heavy alcohol drinker; >14 units alcohol/week, women | 36.2 | 36.2 | 39.2 | 0.03 | 37.0 |
| Poor diet: <5 fruits/vegetables per week | 72.0 | 73.6 | 76.6 | <0.001 | 73.7 |
| Vigorous activity <3 times/week | 77.5 | 81.6 | 85.3 | <0.001 | 80.8 |
| Sleep: <8 hours/day     | 50.7                    | 51.0                    | 57.5                    | <0.001                  | 52.6                    |
| Chronic disease         | 12.4                    | 13.6                    | 11.8                    | 0.72                    | 12.7                    |
| Retired                 | 12.0                    | 13.3                    | 8.3                     | <0.001                  | 11.5                    |
| No educational qualifications | 15.3 | 17.1 | 11.7 | <0.001 | 14.9 |
| Routine occupation      | 30.3                    | 33.6                    | 33.0                    | 0.01                    | 32.0                    |

Note: Boldface indicates statistical significance (p < 0.05). Values are percentages, unless otherwise indicated.

*p*-value for linear trend across tertiles of daily sitting time.
Table 2. Association Between Sitting Time and All-Cause Mortality, Overall and Stratified by Fidgeting Groups (n=12,778)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fidgeting (1=not at all, 10=constantly)</th>
<th>Low (1 or 2)</th>
<th>Middle (3 or 4)</th>
<th>High (5-10)</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age-adjusted HR (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitting 5/6 hours/day (versus &lt;5)</td>
<td>1.18 (0.96, 1.45)</td>
<td>1.17 (0.95, 1.45)</td>
<td>1.13 (0.75, 1.73)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.88, 1.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitting ≥7 hours/day (versus &lt;5)</td>
<td>1.43 (1.14, 1.80)</td>
<td>1.30 (1.02, 1.66)</td>
<td>0.92 (0.54, 1.54)</td>
<td>1.20 (0.99, 1.44)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Missing data on covariates imputed. Fully adjusted = age; chronic disease; physical activity level; sitting time; educational attainment; occupational social class; retirement status; smoking (current versus never/former); alcohol use (heavy and none versus moderate); fruit/vegetable consumption; sleep hours.

HR, hazard ratio.

**Conclusions**

The current study represents a first attempt to examine how movements involved in fidgeting may protect against the adverse effects of sitting for long periods.
Others have recommended that researchers revisit sitting time as an exposure in existing data sets.² We extend this call and additionally recommend that more detailed measures of fidgeting be also identified, with a view to replicating our study and extending it to elucidate possible mechanisms.
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**Table 3. Association Between Fidgeting and All-Cause Mortality in the High Sitting Time Group and Overall**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sitting time</th>
<th>High (≥7 hours/day) (n=3,190)</th>
<th>Overall (n=12,778*)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age-adjusted HR (95% CI)</td>
<td>Fully adjusted HR (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle fidgeting group (versus low)</td>
<td>0.62 (0.39, 0.97)</td>
<td>0.57 (0.36, 0.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High fidgeting group (versus low)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.55, 1.18)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.50, 1.08)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Missing data on covariates imputed. Fully adjusted=age; chronic disease; physical activity level; fidgeting level; educational attainment; occupational social class; retirement status; smoking (current versus never/former); alcohol use (heavy and none versus moderate); fruit/vegetable consumption; sleep hours.
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